



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,067	09/28/2000	Samson X. Huang	884.326US1	2844

21186 7590 08/11/2004

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

ALPHONSE, FRITZ

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2133

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/675,067

Applicant(s)

HUANG, SAMSON X.

Examiner

Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit

2133

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6, 9-15 and 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa (U. S. Pat. No. 5,805,604) in view of Shichiku (U.S. Pat. No. 6,317,817).

As to independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 20, Nishikawa discloses a memory device 11 a with input and output data bus having a least significant bit and a plurality of non-least significant bits (see fig. 2, input and output to memory 11 a).

A first repair router is disclosed by "Rearranging Circuit" 12 with LSB input X0 and plural non-significant bit inputs X3 thru X1 and output data bus connected to memory 11 a. The Rearranging Circuit of Nishikawa routes any of the non-least significant bits to the least significant bit of the memory device, for example, see fig. 2 in which the most significant bit X3 has been routed to the position of the least significant bit (memory portion D).

Nishikawa does not explicitly discard the least significant bit (i.e., Nishikawa teaches that when there is a defect in a bit, other than the LSB, respective bits are rearranged so that the LSC is stored in the defect region). See col. 6, lines 10-15.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Shichiku discloses a memory device wherein the LSB is discarded (col. 8, lines 66-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve upon the image operation processing apparatus, as disclosed by Shichiku. By doing so, it is possible to store data of the results of operation in continuous address of the memory, enabling efficient use of the memory areas. Since such a process can be realized by only one instruction, programming is facilitated (col. 11, lines 52-56).

Further, as to independent claim 15, Nishikawa discloses the bits can represent image data, which are inherently pixels; see col. 5, line 41.

Further, as to independent claim 20, Nishikawa discloses second repair router as "Rearranging Circuit" 13 wherein the first and second repair routers (Rearranging Circuits 12 and 13) route image bits as a function of defects in the memory 11 a. As to the routers include "internal routing circuitry to utilize any non-least significant bit of the memory device as a least significant bit", this is shown in fig. 2 wherein the defective memory location was section A (memory 11 a) and the least-significant bit "X0" has been routed to this location and the bit "X0", which previously corresponded to this location has been routed to the least-significant bit location D.

As to claim 2, a next-to-least significant bit of the memory device input data bus is disclosed as shown by the example in Table, error pattern 1100 wherein X1 next to LSB is utilized (see Nishikawa, tables 3-4).

As to claims 3, 12, 13 and 14, see discussion above directed to the second repair router disclosed by Nishikawa (Rearranging Circuit 13). As shown in fig. 2, the second repair router 13 reverses the routing performed by the first repair router, e.g., X3, X2, X1, X0 enters repair router 12 and X0, X2, X1, X3 is output by repair router 12 and the second repair router 13 has X0, X2,

Art Unit: 2133

X1, X3 enter the second repair router followed by the reverse ordering of the routing performed by repair router 12, i.e., X3, X2, X1, X0 is output by repair router 13.

As to claims 4, 5, 10 and 11, (see Nishikawa fig. 9) with decoder 21 and ranges Am, Bm, and Cm where m is from 0-7 see col. 11, lines 12-60.

As to claim 6, Nishikawa discloses the bits can represent image data, which are inherently pixels, col. 5, line 41.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7-8 and 16-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa and Shichiku as applied to claims 1 and 15 and 20 above, and further in view of Kondo (U.S. Pat. No. 5,153,574).

Nishikawa is directed to an apparatus and method for handling memory defects by routing non-least significant image bits to least-significant image bit locations in memory.

Nishikawa does not teach a second and third memory device along with a second and third pair of repair routers. Nishikawa is also silent as to the type of display in which his images are displayed, i.e., Nishikawa does not teach a silicon light modulator.

Kondo teaches an interface for a thin display which can include a liquid crystal display (col. 1, lines 9-11) which is the same as the silicon light modulator described by Applicant on

Art Unit: 2133

page 1, lines 9-10 of the specification. Kondo includes three memory devices (RAMs 211, 212, and 213) for storing red, green and blue pixel data, see col. 3, lines 13-15.

It would have been obvious to use the memory defect routing of Nishikawa in a LCD display system with three memories for color display. This would have been obvious as suggested by Nishikawa wherein Nishikawa's device is used for image data, "A rearranging circuit 12 has a function to rearrange respective bits representing image data..." col. 5, and lines 40-41 of Nishikawa.

Further, since Nishikawa is directed at providing a method and apparatus to mitigate memory defects without requiring the extra overhead of spare memory, it would have been obvious to include the rearranging circuits (i.e., rerouting circuitry) to the device of Kondo so as not to require extra memory and to require a change in the size of the memory. As combined, the system of Kondo and Nishikawa would simply add the input rearranging circuits 12 (first repair router) of Nishikawa to each of the inputs of memories 211, 212 and 213 of Kondo and add the output rearranging circuits 13 (second repair router) of Nishikawa on the outputs of memories 211, 212, and 213 of Kondo.

As directed to claims 6, 7, 17, 18 and 23, the combined system of Kondo and Nishikawa includes a three separate color memories, each with a pair of repair router circuitry. As to claims 16 and 21, the system of Kondo includes an interface for a liquid crystal display which is the same as the claimed silicon light modulator and as to claim 21, the use of a reflective electrode is well known in the art and would be obvious to use in a liquid crystal device without a back-light, i.e., these type of LCD displays are typical in watches or front lit displays, examiner will provide references if desired.

As to claims 19 and 22, Nishikawa shows groupings as shown in the control of fig. 8, see also fig. 11 wherein the bits are grouped into 4 groups of 4 bits.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 24 –25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-8534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (703) 305-9595.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2133


applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit 2133

August 3, 2004



GUY J. LAMARRE
PRIMARY EXAMINER